

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | ATTO | RNEY DOCKET NO. |
|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------|
| 9/456,110 | 12/07/99 | LUO | Х | 001/0 | 001 |
| Г | | ٦ | | EXAMINER | |
| | | QM32/0926 | | | |
| CEVIN H. FORTIN | | | HAVE | <u>S. M</u> | |
| BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS LLP | | | AR | TUNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| .O. BOX 1404 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | <u> </u> | | 19 |
| ALEXANDRIA VA 22313-1404 | | | 3763 | 1 | 10 |
| P"P" VLHAMATATEL AL | "The state of the state of the state of | [,] **** | | AAILED: | 4 |
| | | | DAIL | | mar som a |
| | | | | U9/1 | 26/01 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| 34 | Apulication No. | Applicant/c\ | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| Office Action Summan | 09/456,110 | LUO ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| The MAIL INC DATE of this communication and | Michael J Hayes | correspondence address | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M | | | | | | | |
| , | is action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 August 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2. | 5) Notice of Informa | ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Il Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/456,110

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by DOBAK, III et al. (U. S. Patent No. 6,254,626). Dobak discloses a method of advancing a heat exchanger into the carotid artery of a patient for hypothermia treatment of a stroke (col. 1, lines 39-47). Dobak further discloses that blood pressure is maintained during hypothermia treatment (col. 2, lines 29-40).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dobak as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of DATO (U. S. Patent No. 3,425,419). Dobak discloses the claimed invention except for introducing the heat exchanger into a central venous vein. Dato teaches introducing the heat exchanger into a central venous vein to induce hypothermia in a

Application/Control Number: 09/456,110

Art Unit: 3763

patient (col. 2, lines 40-52). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the method taught by Dato in the method of Dobak in order to quickly and conveniently cool a human body.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. WARD et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,716,386) shows controlling blood pressure during hypothermia treatment. HAYASHI (U. S. Patent No. 6,210,697 B1) discloses monitoring and maintaining patient's blood pressure during hypothermia treatment is necessary to prevent stress or infectious diseases (col. 1, lines 35-45).
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Hayes at (703) 305-5873. The examiner can usually be reached Monday -Thursday, 7:00-4:30, and on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Seidel, can be contacted at (703) 308-5115. The fax number for submitting official papers is (703) 872-9302. The fax number for submitting after final papers is (703) 872-9303.

Michael J. Hayes

Michael Stayer

24 September 2001